

Dave McCoy

From: Dave McCoy [dave@radfreem.org]
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2010 1:31 PM
To: Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FOIA documents not provided

Dear Ms. Mafi,

I have not received the documents described below, nor have I received any response from you regarding this matter.

I would appreciate a response.

Thank you.

David B. McCoy, Director
Citizen Action New Mexico

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave McCoy [mailto:dave@radfreem.org]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:35 PM
To: 'Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov'
Subject: RE: FOIA Appeal

Dear Ms. Mafi,

Thank you for your quick response. I would appreciate an electronic version. Some of my concerns are below.

There is a program called TeamMate that was used to record work and results. I would like anything on that program and electronic files.

John Coll did some preliminary review of the tritium problem at the Mixed Waste Landfill. Those notes are not provided.

There were documents by Citizen Action and Robert Gilkeson that were sent in answer to geologist Katherine Hess questions. Were there notes or conclusions made by her?

An email of 4/29/2009 Larry Dare to Carrie Thomas is not complete.

There are interviews and field notes by the EPA OIG auditors of Region 6 personnel that are missing. As one example, TeamMate Assignment Number: OPE-FY-09-0025 Decision Meeting Regarding Fieldwork Objectives Dave McCoy, Sandia National Laboratory Hotline Complaint With Notes From Subsequent Meetings With Region 6 Representatives December 16, 2008. Neither the notes nor the interviews were provided.

I'm not sure what documents "working papers" usually contain, but the audit team photocopied hundreds of pages provided by Citizen Action and Mr. Gilkeson and I would imagine that EPA Region 6 must have similarly provided some documents.

Are there any notes by the audit team that make any conclusions about the substantive issues raised?

Where are the notes in which the audit team members state what was or was not told to Citizen Action? Where are the field notes of the audit team visit with Citizen Action in Albuquerque?

A working paper is cited where a recommendation or statement was added to working paper B.4.15 at the bottom of page 1. That working paper is not present.

A conclusion of the EPA OIG is that there was widespread misclassification of documents as confidential by EPA Region 6. Did the OIG make notes about the titles, the classification given, the contents, and/or make copies of such documents so as to support that position?

Thank you for your personal work on the appeal and for your further attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dave McCoy

-----Original Message-----

From: Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:36 PM
To: dave@radfreenm.org
Subject: FOIA Appeal

Dear Mr. McCoy,

I am responding on behalf of our Counsel regarding your recent inquiry about documents that you believe were missing from the response we provided to your FOIA appeal. As you know, we decided to disclose all of the requested documents in full, without any redactions. I worked on the appeal portion of your FOIA request, and will look for the documents you listed in your voicemail to ensure that there was an adequate search and recovery of documents in response to your appeal.

Also, you referenced electronic files, and for your information, most of the documents you received were pulled from electronic files in our system, and they were printed out and sent to you. If you would like to receive the electronic versions of the files, we can certainly provide those as well.

I will be back in touch with you soon to update you.

Sincerely,

Mona Mafi

Mona Mafi
Associate Counsel to the Inspector General
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
mafi.mona@epa.gov

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2927 - Release Date: 06/09/10 06:35:00

Dave McCoy

From: Dave McCoy [dave@radfreem.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 10:55 AM
To: 'mafi.mona@epamail.gov'
Cc: 'Richard Mietz'
Subject: FW: FOIA Appeal

Dear Ms. Mafi,

I would appreciate an update on when the additional documents will be provided.

Thank You.

Dave McCoy

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave McCoy [mailto:dave@radfreem.org]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:35 PM
To: 'Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov'
Subject: RE: FOIA Appeal

Dear Ms. Mafi,

Thank you for your quick response. I would appreciate an electronic version. Some of my concerns are below.

There is a program called TeamMate that was used to record work and results. I would like anything on that program and electronic files.

John Coll did some preliminary review of the tritium problem at the Mixed Waste Landfill. Those notes are not provided.

There were documents by Citizen Action and Robert Gilkeson that were sent in answer to geologist Katherine Hess questions. Were there notes or conclusions made by her?

An email of 4/29/2009 Larry Dare to Carrie Thomas is not complete.

There are interviews and field notes by the EPA OIG auditors of Region 6 personnel that are missing. As one example, TeamMate Assignment Number: OPE-FY-09-0025 Decision Meeting Regarding Fieldwork Objectives Dave McCoy, Sandia National Laboratory Hotline Complaint With Notes From Subsequent Meetings With Region 6 Representatives December 16, 2008. Neither the notes nor the interviews were provided.

I'm not sure what documents "working papers" usually contain, but the audit team photocopied hundreds of pages provided by Citizen Action and Mr. Gilkeson and I would imagine that EPA Region 6 must have similarly provided some documents.

Are there any notes by the audit team that make any conclusions about the substantive issues raised?

Where are the notes in which the audit team members state what was or was not told to Citizen Action? Where are the field notes of the audit team visit with Citizen Action in Albuquerque?

A working paper is cited where a recommendation or statement was added to working paper B.4.15 at the bottom of page 1. That working paper is not present.

A conclusion of the EPA OIG is that there was widespread misclassification of documents as confidential by EPA Region 6. Did the IG make notes about the titles, the classification given, the contents, and/or make copies of such documents so as to support that position?

Thank you for your personal work on the appeal and for your further attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dave McCoy

-----Original Message-----

From: Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:36 PM
To: dave@radfreem.org
Subject: FOIA Appeal

Dear Mr. McCoy,

I am responding on behalf of our Counsel regarding your recent inquiry about documents that you believe were missing from the response we provided to your FOIA appeal. As you know, we decided to disclose all of the requested documents in full, without any redactions. I worked on the appeal portion of your FOIA request, and will look for the documents you listed in your voicemail to ensure that there was an adequate search and recovery of documents in response to your appeal.

Also, you referenced electronic files, and for your information, most of the documents you received were pulled from electronic files in our system, and they were printed out and sent to you. If you would like to receive the electronic versions of the files, we can certainly provide those as well.

I will be back in touch with you soon to update you.

Sincerely,

Mona Mafi

Mona Mafi
Associate Counsel to the Inspector General
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
mafi.mona@epa.gov

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2927 - Release Date: 06/09/10 06:35:00

1

Dave McCoy

From: Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:36 PM
To: dave@radfreenm.org
Subject: FOIA Appeal

Dear Mr. McCoy,

I am responding on behalf of our Counsel regarding your recent inquiry about documents that you believe were missing from the response we provided to your FOIA appeal. As you know, we decided to disclose all of the requested documents in full, without any redactions. I worked on the appeal portion of your FOIA request, and will look for the documents you listed in your voicemail to ensure that there was an adequate search and recovery of documents in response to your appeal.

Also, you referenced electronic files, and for your information, most of the documents you received were pulled from electronic files in our system, and they were printed out and sent to you. If you would like to receive the electronic versions of the files, we can certainly provide those as well.

I will be back in touch with you soon to update you.

Sincerely,

Mona Mafi

Mona Mafi
Associate Counsel to the Inspector General
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
mafi.mona@epa.gov

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2927 - Release Date: 06/09/10 06:35:00

Dave McCoy

From: Dave McCoy [dave@radfreem.org]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:35 PM
To: 'Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov'
Subject: RE: FOIA Appeal

Dear Ms. Mafi,

Thank you for your quick response. I would appreciate an electronic version. Some of my concerns are below.

There is a program called TeamMate that was used to record work and results. I would like anything on that program and electronic files.

John Cell did some preliminary review of the tritium problem at the Mixed Waste Landfill. Those notes are not provided.

There were documents by Citizen Action and Robert Gilkeson that were sent in answer to geologist Katherine Hess questions. Were there notes or conclusions made by her?

An email of 4/29/2009 Larry Dare to Carrie Thomas is not complete.

There are interviews and field notes by the EPA OIG auditors of Region 6 personnel that are missing. As one example, TeamMate Assignment Number: OPE-FY-09-0025 Decision Meeting Regarding Fieldwork Objectives Dave McCoy, Sandia National Laboratory Hotline Complaint With Notes From Subsequent Meetings With Region 6 Representatives December 16, 2008. Neither the notes nor the interviews were provided.

I'm not sure what documents "working papers" usually contain, but the audit team photocopied hundreds of pages provided by Citizen Action and Mr. Gilkeson and I would imagine that EPA Region 6 must have similarly provided some documents.

Are there any notes by the audit team that make any conclusions about the substantive issues raised?

Where are the notes in which the audit team members state what was or was not told to Citizen Action? Where are the field notes of the audit team visit with Citizen Action in Albuquerque?

A working paper is cited where a recommendation or statement was added to working paper 8.4.15 at the bottom of page 1. That working paper is not present.

A conclusion of the EPA OIG is that there was widespread misclassification of documents as confidential by EPA Region 6. Did the IG make notes about the titles, the classification given, the contents, and/or make copies of such documents so as to support that position?

Thank you for your personal work on the appeal and for your further attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dave McCoy

-----Original Message-----

From: Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mafi.Mona@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:36 PM
To: dave@radfreem.org
Subject: FOIA Appeal

Dear Mr. McCoy,

I am responding on behalf of our Counsel regarding your recent inquiry about documents

that you believe were missing from the response we provided to your FOIA appeal. As you know, we decided to disclose all of the requested documents in full, without any redactions. I worked on the appeal portion of your FOIA request, and will look for the documents you listed in your voicemail to ensure that there was an adequate search and recovery of documents in response to your appeal.

Also, you referenced electronic files, and for your information, most of the documents you received were pulled from electronic files in our system, and they were printed out and sent to you. If you would like to receive the electronic versions of the files, we can certainly provide those as well.

I will be back in touch with you soon to update you.

Sincerely,

Mona Mafi

Mona Mafi
Associate Counsel to the Inspector General
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
mafi.mona@epa.gov

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2927 - Release Date: 06/09/10 06:35:00